

Beyond the Palace Walls: Cultural Dynamics of Separation, Continuity, and Convergence in the Centennial Evolution of the Palace Museum

FU Xiao-rong

School of Business, Yunnan University of Finance and
Economics, China

LV Gang

School of Sports, Yuxi Normal University, Yunnan 653100,
China

LIN Hai-tao

College of Chemical and Engineer, Yuxi Normal University, Yunnan 653100, China

The century-long metamorphosis of the Palace Museum—from an imperial enclave to a public institution—reflects the profound cultural shifts of modern China. This investigation methodically explores pivotal stages: its inauguration in 1925, the wartime diaspora of artifacts from 1933 to 1949, conservation endeavors after 1949, and cross-strait institutional evolution, with close attention to centennial initiatives and philatelic commemorations in 2025. The findings indicate that although geopolitical forces physically dispersed the collections across the Taiwan Strait—giving rise to narratives of separation—scholarly cooperation, preservation craftsmanship, and shared symbolism have engendered enduring cultural continuities. Stamps, as condensed cultural media, articulate divergent articulations of heritage yet achieve convergence through mutual techniques of creation, aesthetic principles, and the unifying acts of collectors. Ultimately, the Palace Museum’s century-long transformation offers a model of civilizational transmission across political divides—one that creatively conceptualizes “palace walls” as mechanisms of insulation for culture preservation and bridges for identity reassertion.

Keywords: Palace Museum, artifact evacuation, cross-strait heritage, commemorative stamps, transmission of civilization

I. Introduction: Between Heritage and Modernity

The reconstitution of the Forbidden City as a museum occurred at a pivotal juncture of dynastic demise and republican emergence. Following the 1924 Beijing Coup by Feng Yuxiang—which nullified the Qing

*** Acknowledgements:** This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

AI Assistance Statement: The authors utilized the deepseek-ai/DeepSeek-V3.1-Terminus model for language enhancement purposes during manuscript revision. All ideas, analyses, and conclusions remain the sole responsibility of the authors.

FU Xiao-rong, Master Candidate, School of Business, Yunnan University of Finance and Economics.

LV Gang, Master, Lecture, School of Sports, Yuxi Normal University.

LIN Hai-tao (Corresponding author), Ph.D., Professor, College of Chemical and Engineer, Yuxi Normal University.

imperial 优待条件 and expelled Puyi—the resultant Committee for Disposition of Qing Imperial Possessions undertook an exhaustive ten-month inventory, issuing the foundational archival record, *Palace Items Inventory Report*. On October 10, 1925, the newly inaugurated Palace Museum welcomed over 50,000 visitors, transforming royal patrimony into collective cultural property.

Over the ensuing century, the wartime artifact evacuation (1933–1949) and subsequent cross-straits institutional bifurcation produced tangible physical dispersion, yet persistent intellectual, technical, and symbolic bonds demonstrate profound resiliency. Marked by joint forums, exhibitions, and the issuance of commemorative stamps, the 2025 centennial activities reveal an overarching concord rooted in heritage continuity. Deploying historical analysis and visual semiotics, this paper demonstrates how cultural inheritance may bridge political fissures through dynamic, nonlinear mechanisms of recomposition.

II. Narrating Division: Institutional Reform and Physical Scattering

Imperial Closure and the Dawn of Public Heritage (1925) The Museum’s founding enacted institutional detachment—systematically repurposing dynastic collections as a national repository. To systematize cataloguing, the “zoned numbering-dual verification” methodology involved interdisciplinary experts to certify integrity. Notable discoveries—including Ming plays found concealed within Xu Fangzhai Pavilion—affirmed the Palace’s identity as a living archive. The authentic display of personal items from Yangxin Hall dismantled myths of monarchy while embedding notions of civic enlightenment.

Wartime Evacuation and Partition (1933–1949) The southern evacuation represented both a marvel of conservatorial logistics and a driver of material schism. During escalating threats, curators orchestrated artifact transport using innovative camphor-wood crates with moisture-resistant cotton padding and plaster casings for fragile bronzes such as the San Shi Pan. Amid incessant peril—fires in Changsha and bombing raids across evacuation routes—all three western migratory routes preserved these treasures immaculately. The transfer of 2,972 crates to Taiwan in 1948 and eventual recuperation of the remainder to Beijing resulted in spatial divorce between artifacts and their ancestral structures—seeding alternative historical framings across the Strait.

Post-1949 Institutional and Patrimonial Drift Post-revolutionary reconstruction utilized elite craftsmanship: the “Ten Master Artisans,” led by figures such as Zhao Chongmao, who resurrected the Northwest Corner Tower. As generational transmission of skills progressed, the 2002 “Millennium Restoration” aligned with archaeologically-informed authenticity—rebuilding spaces like the Yangxin Hall conforming to the Yongzheng reign layout. Over time, institutional emphasis also diverged: Beijing advanced universal digitization covering 1.86 million artifacts, while Taipei refined research into Song editions and elite painting traditions.

III. Sustained Continuity: Knowledge Transmission and Technical Lineages

Despite territorial separation, continuities materialize recurrently, sustained by conservation ethos, institutional memory, and shared aesthetic ideologies.

Craft Preservation: Adaptation from earlier anti-damp packing to collaborative fungal-inhibition technologies illustrates sustained skill retention across generations. The synchronized 2025 “Digital Twin” platform enabled inter-collection reference through unified artifact QR coding systems.

Academic Engagement: From the acclaimed 2009 “Yongzheng Emperor” show that reintegration artifact narratives across Taipei and Beijing to the joint 2025 symposium reassembling *Siku Quanshu* fragments—these events amplify the institution’s scholarly transparency founding principle.

Communal Ethos: Pedagogical programs and exhibitions—ranging from the live-streamed “Relic Hospital” in Beijing to curated explorations of literati treasures in Taipei—materialize Cai Yuanpei’s conviction of “aesthetic education as civic religion,” perpetuating equitable heritage accessibility.

IV. Confluence in Miniature: Centennial Stamps as Discursive Formations

Dialogic Exhibition Strategies

On its centenary, Beijing staged *A Century of Stewardship*, employing augmented reality to reconstruct artifact migration and stimulate engagement via the triad “space–artifact–memory”; Meanwhile, Taipei’s exhibition *Bibliophile Treasures of the Song* juxtaposed printing technologies and reassembled iconic paintings for comparative study. Though differing focus—historical conservation versus artistic apotheosis—both commemorate the wartime artifact diaspora as epochal cultural resistance.

Stamp Iconography: Divides and Correspondences

Separate Emphases: Celestial Globes selected by Beijing emblemized dynastic majesty, whereas the Ying Zu Ding Bronze featured in Taipei symbolized archaic civilizational legacies—illustrating public narratives of grandeur versus spiritual introspection.



Figure 1. CHINA POST 100th Anniversary of the Palace Museum Note: Author Collection.



Figure 2. REPUBLIC OF CHINA (TAIWAN) The 100th Anniversary of the National Palace Museum Commemorative issue. Note: Author Collection.

Harmonized Features: Identical metallic inks, security fluorescence, and consistent shades of celadon-linked hues rendered a visual synergy. Crest insignias with ancient landscapes mirror corresponding missions: authoritative preservation complemented by cultural exegesis.

Assemblage-Level Re-connection: When collected together, Qing artifacts and Shang bronze motifs converge philatelically—mending symbolic ruptures and signifying popular aspirations transcending political limitations.

V. Towards an Ethics of Heritage Circulation: The Wall as Conceptual Apparatus

Over the century, the Palace Museum demonstrated that civilizational continuity relies on agile reinterpretation and symbolic reconnection:

- **Productive Division**—Geographic separation yields new contextual meanings, diversifying hermeneutic paradigms without destroying ancestral awareness;
- **Pluralistic Bonds**—Convergence surfaces polyphonically via scholarship, material technology, artistic symbology. The “Re-context” Digitization Project suggests artifact repatriation into original architectural frameworks through virtual means, indicating fluid futures for transnational heritage restitution.

Its institutional trajectory illuminates universal principles: authentic longevity demands intellectual permeability. As walls transform from enclosures into conceptual channels cross-strait dialogue testifies to how cultures regenerate via inclusiveness within formal divides—thus enacting the foundational ethos of continuity amid plurality, integrity in diversity—the enduring core of Chinese civilizational intelligence.

References

- Assmann, J. (1995). Collective memory and cultural identity. *New German Critique*, 65, 125-133.
- China Post Group Corporation. (2025). *Announcement on the issuance of Palace Museum centennial commemorative stamps*. Beijing: China Post Publishing.
- Committee for Disposition of Qing Imperial Possessions. (Ed.). (2004). *Palace items inventory report* (Reprint). Beijing: Thread-Binding Books Publishing. (Original work published 1925)
- Feng, M. Z. (2025). Qing archives and the perpetuation of Chinese culture. In *Proceedings of the Taipei Palace Museum Centennial Academic Conference* [forthcoming]. Taipei Palace Museum.
- Li, J. (2024). Intertextuality in cross-strait cultural heritage symbols: A case study of Palace Museum stamps. *Art & Design Research*, 3, 45-52.
- Nora, P. (1989). Between memory and history: *Les lieux de mémoire*. *Representations*, 26, 7-24.
- Palace Museum. (2025). *Centennial commemorative stamp design manual* [Internal material].
- Wang, X. D. (2023). Palace Museum world heritage conservation under new development philosophy. *Palace Museum Journal*, 1, 4-6.
- Wu, H. (1995). *Monumentality in early Chinese art and architecture*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Zhu, Y. (2025, January 24). Traversing a century: The Palace Museum's journey. *The Beijing News*.